

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,924	05/10/2001	Stephan F. Jou	240703-1180	5840
23506 7.	590 10/06/2003		EXAM	INER
GARDNER GROFF, P.C. PAPER MILL VILLAGE, BUILDING 23 600 VILLAGE TRACE SUITE 300			JANKUS, ALMIS R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2671	
MARIETTA,	GA 30067		DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

r	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/852,924	JOU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Almis R Jankus	2671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	of(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of till apply and will expire SIX (6) Micause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	<u>1ay 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	_	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊡ approved b)⊡	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2671

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-13 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 09/852,924

Art Unit: 2671

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu et al. in view of admitted prior art (APA).

Lu et al. Rendered obvious claim 1 by teaching the claimed "detecting the onset of conditions requiring frequent repainting of the screen" and "partly repainting the screen" at column 23 line60 to column 25 line 21.

While Lu et al. Teaches the partly repainting upon detection of frequent repainting, it is noted that Lu et al. does not explicitly teach "obtaining from the operating system information necessary for repainting", "checking whether conditions of step a.

still apply", and "repeating steps b. through d. until the conditions of step a. no longer apply".

However, an application interaction with a user and an operating system was well known and is admitted by applicants as APA at page 4 of the specification. It would have been obvious to use the teaching of Lu et al. with a conventional GUI based operating system because higher performance can be achieved by lowering the resolution when the camera is moving.

Claim 2 further requires the application to be a graphic visualization application. Lu et al. Teaches this at the abstract.

Claim 3 further requires steps a., b., and d. to be carried out based on messages sent by the operating system to the application's message queue. This would have been obvious in view of the APA.

Claim 4 further requires the operating system to be MS Windows operating system. This would have been obvious in view of APA.

Claim 5 further requires the screen to display a graphically complex visualization scene. Lu et al. Teaches this at column 11 lines 14-25.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,924

Art Unit: 2671

Claim 6 further requires the conditions requiring frequent repainting of the screen to be a result of an navigation operation initiated by an application user. Lu et al. Teaches this as a user choosing a camera movement, at column 25 lines 17-21.

Page 5

Claim 7 further requires the scene to be navigated with an input device. Lu et al. Teaches this at column 27 lines 8-17.

Claim 8 further requires the input device to be a mouse or a keyboard. This would have been obvious as well known ordinary input devices.

Claim 9 further requires the navigation operation to be selected from the group consisting of panning, rotating and zooming. Lu et al. Teaches these features as rotation and translation at column 13 lines 50-57, and scaling at column 18 lines 38-51.

Claim 10 further requires the scene to be represented in the computer system as a scene graph. Lu et al. Teaches this at column 11 lines 5-10.

5. Claims 11-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Almis R Jankus whose telephone number is 703-305-9795. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman can be reached on 703-305-9798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

AJ

ALMS R. JANKUS PRIMARY EXAMINER